UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

		<i>U</i>	ISTRICT OF ARIZONA
	רואט	TED STATES OF AMERICA v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Jose Maria Lopez-Hernandez		se Maria Lopez-Hernandez	Case Number: <u>11-02259M-001</u>
present	and wa	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S s represented by counsel. I conc defendant pending trial in this c	.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on February 28, 2011. Defendant was lude by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the ase.
		onderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT
i illia by	⊠ picpo		of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
			ne charged offense, was in the United States illegally.
If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant had or otherwise removed.			dant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs
		The defendant has no significa	nt contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
	\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
		The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
		The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.	
		There is a record of prior failure	e to appear in court as ordered.
		The defendant attempted to ev	ade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a maxi	mum of years imprisonment.
at the ti	The Co me of th	ourt incorporates by reference the le hearing in this matter, except	material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court as noted in the record.
			CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	1.	There is a serious risk that the	defendant will flee.
	2.	No condition or combination of	conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
			ECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION
appeal. of the U	ctions factions facti	cility separate, to the extent pract fendant shall be afforded a reaso ates or on request of an attorney	ody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in icable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending onable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the ourpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
		APF	EALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
deliver a			of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to ration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District
	s suffici		ease to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DATE:	Febru	uary 28, 2011	JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge